# Monte-Carlo Planning in Hybrid Belief POMDPs

#### Moran Barenboim, Moshe Shienman and Vadim Indelman

IEEE Robotics and Automation Letters (RA-L), 8(8): 4410-4417, 2023.







#### Introduction

- Sequential decision-making under uncertainty
- These are commonly formalized as POMDPs







#### Introduction

NION

Technoloav

A common approach for solving POMDPs is through online tree search methods

- Each node represents a belief
- Each edge represents an action or an observation
- Given a prior belief, the posterior belief is calculated via probabilistic inference



#### Hybrid State Spaces

We will be focusing on POMDPs with Hybrid state spaces.

Continuous representations may include,

- The agent pose
- Landmark position
- etc.



While discrete variables

- Object classes
- Data association hypotheses
- Semantic information (e.g. traffic light state)
- etc.





#### Hybrid State Spaces

Hybrid belief over continuous and discrete variables:

(e.g. agent state, landmark locations) (e.g. data association hypotheses)



Belief over agent state is represented by a mixture density (e.g. GMM):





Exponential Growth

#### Hybrid State Spaces - The Challenge

Computing the value function requires explicit knowledge of the hypotheses

However, the number of hypotheses may grow exponentially with the horizon!





#### Hybrid State Spaces - Current State Of The Art

Most SOTA algorithms prune hypotheses heuristically

However, this leads to a biased estimator of the value function







Instead of computing all possible hypotheses, we utilize MCTS sampling and exploration approach.

Autonomous Navigation

and Perception Lab

MCTS:

- An MDP solver
- Uses UCT to tradeoff exploration-exploitation for actions

$$UCT(x_t, a_t) = \hat{Q}(x_t, a_t) + c \cdot \sqrt{\frac{\log N(x_t)}{n(x_t, a_t)}}$$

- Given an action, samples the next state
- Tends to quickly focus on the important parts of the tree





To solve Hybrid-POMDPs, we derived a new algorithm, named Hybrid-Belief Monte-Carlo Planning (HB-MCP)

HB-MCP adds a layer that samples hypotheses via Monte-Carlo sampling

**ECHNION** 

of Technology





Full hybrid belief (shown in blue) at each iteration, regardless of the hypotheses significance.





We have derived a corresponding reward estimator,  $\hat{\mathcal{R}}_X$ , and have shown that it leads to an unbiased estimator,

#### Lemma

The sampled-based, state-dependent reward estimator,  $\hat{\mathcal{R}}_X \triangleq \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i,j=1}^{N} \lambda_t^{i,j} \frac{1}{n_X} \sum_{k=1}^{n_X} r(X_t^{i,j,k}, a_t)$ , is unbiased.







#### Hybrid State Spaces - Results

HB-MCP has shown improved performance on multiple experiments



TECHNION Israel Institute of Technology

#### Hybrid State Spaces - Conclusions

To conclude,

 Hybrid POMDPs are computationally difficult and the number of hypotheses may even grow exponentially with the horizon

Naively pruning hypotheses leads to a biased estimation of the value function

Instead, we suggest a new algorithm and show that it leads to an unbiased estimator





## Monte-Carlo Planning in Hybrid Belief POMDPs

Moran Barenboim, Moshe Shienman and Vadim Indelman



